

Adelic constructions of direct images for differentials and symbols*

D. V. Osipov

Let f be a projective morphism from a smooth algebraic surface X to a smooth algebraic curve S over a perfect field k . Using the adelic language we give some relative constructions of residues and symbols and apply them to the Gysin morphism for differentials and algebraic cycles.

The first section of this article is devoted to various constructions of relative residue maps from differentials of 2-dimensional local fields to differentials of 1-dimensional local fields. (See definitions 4, 5.) For these maps we prove some reciprocity laws. (See propositions 6 and 7.) Then using the adelic resolutions of sheafs Ω_X^2 and Ω_S^1 we apply these residue maps to the construction of Gysin maps from $H^n(X, \Omega_X^2)$ to $H^{n-1}(S, \Omega_S^1)$ ($n = 1, 2$). (See propositions 9, 10.)

In the second section of this article we assume $\text{char } k = 0$ and construct relative maps $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ from K_2 -groups of 2-dimensional local fields $K_{x,C}$ on the surface X , associated with pairs: an irreducible curve $C \subset X$ and a point $x \in C$, to multiplicative groups of complete local fields K_s of points s on the curve S . (See theorems 1 and 2.) These relative symbol maps are directly connected to the other local maps on the surface X and the curve S : such as the 2-dimensional tame symbol, 2-dimensional residue map and so forth. Also, we prove some relative reciprocity laws. (See corollaries from theorem 1.)

Let us remark, if C is not a fibre of morphism f , then the required symbol map is the usual tame symbol. If C is in the fibre of morphism f , then the required symbol was originally introduced by K. Kato in [3]. We give another proofs of all theorems, we need on this symbol. Moreover, in theorem 2 we give an explicit formula for this symbol when $\text{char } k = 0$.

The third section of this article is similar to the end of the first section. In this part we apply the constructed symbol maps for a construction of direct image maps from $H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_2(X))$ to $H^{n-1}(S, \mathcal{K}_1(S))$ ($n = 1, 2$). (See proposition 19.) (Here $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ (correspondingly $\mathcal{K}_1(S)$) is the sheaf on the surface X (corr. on the curve S) associated to the presheaf $\{U \mapsto K_2(U)\}$ (corr. $\{U \mapsto K_1(U)\}$.) If $n = 2$, then this map is the Gysin map from $CH^2(X)$ to $CH^1(S)$. (See proposition 20.) For this goal we construct a K_2 -adelic resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$. (See theorem 3.)

Note also that all constructions in this paper are presented by means of explicit expressions and for almost all statements we give variants of their proofs which don't use

*That is a modified english version of the article appeared in "Matematicheskiiy Sbornik" 5(188) (1997).

the higher Quillen K -theory.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my scientific advisor, A. N. Parshin, for indidved attention to this work. I wish also to thank A. B. Zheglov for his valuable comments.

1 Differentials and their direct images.

1.1 Continuous differentials.

Let K be a field of discrete valuation ν_K . Then by $\mathcal{O}_K$ denote its discrete valuation ring and by m_K denote the maximal ideal of this ring. Let $\bar{K} = \mathcal{O}_K/m_K$ be its residue field and $\pi : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \bar{K}$ be the canonical map.

Now if $K = k((t))$ is a local field of dimension 1, then $\mathcal{O}_K = k[[t]]$, $m_K = t \cdot k[[t]]$ and $\bar{K} = k$. Let $\Omega_{K/k}^1$ be the module of 1-differentials of K . Put $Q = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} m_K^n d(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

Definition 1 (Continuous differentials) $\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Omega_{K/k}^1/Q$, where $K = k((t))$.

Proposition 1 Let $K = k((t))$, then $\dim_K \tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^1 = 1$, dt is a basis of $\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^1$ over K , and for any $f \in K$ $df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt$.

Proof. See [14, ch.2.11].

In the sequel assume that K is a 2-dimensional local field, moreover let $K = k((u))((t))$. Then $\mathcal{O}_K = k((u))[[t]]$, $m_K = t \cdot \mathcal{O}_K$, $\bar{K} = k((u))$, $\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}} = k[[u]]$. Put $F = k((t))$, $I = k[[u, t]]$. Then let $\varphi : I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}}$ be the quotient map on the ideal $(t \cdot I)$. Let $\Omega_{K/k}^1$ be the module of 1-differentials of K . Let P_i be a subgroup of $\Omega_{K/k}^1$ generated by elements $(\varphi^{-1}(m_{\bar{K}}))^i dI$, T_j be a subgroup of $\Omega_{K/k}^1$ generated by elements $m_K^j d(\mathcal{O}_K)$. Put $Q_I = K \cdot \bigcap_{i,j \geq 0} (P_i + T_j)$.

Definition 2 (Continuous differentials) ${}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Omega_{K/k}^1/Q_I$, where $K = k((u))((t))$

The proof of the following proposition is similar to the proof of proposition 1.

Proposition 2 Let $K = k((u))((t))$, then ${}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^1$ is a two dimensional vector space over the field K . du and dt are a basis of ${}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^1$ over K , and for any $f \in K$ $df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} du + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt$.

Definition 3 ${}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^1 \wedge_K {}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^1$.

Remark 1 If we choose the other representation of $K = k((u'))((t'))$, then the subring I can be changed in K . Therefore our definition of ${}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^*$ really depends on the choice of an embedding $I \hookrightarrow K$. Moreover, if ϕ_I is the natural homomorphism from $\Omega_{K/k}^*$ to ${}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^*$, then the composition of ϕ_I with the residue map (see definitions 4 and 5) will depend on the choice of an embedding $I \hookrightarrow K$.

But the indeterminacy of such kind can be removed when the two-dimensional local field K appears from geometrical datas. In this case there is a canonical embedding of the ring I to the field K . (See section 1.2).

Remark 2 There is an other approach to the definition of continuous differentials: by introducing some topology on the module $\Omega_{K/k}^*$. A. Yekutieli considered in [15] the module of the "right" differentials $\Omega_{K/k}^{*,sep}$ for the multidimensional local fields. One can prove, that our ${}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^*$ coincide with $\Omega_{K/k}^{*,sep}$. (The topology of the field K is the following: the set of subgroups $J_{i,j} = (\varphi^{-1}(m_{\bar{K}}))^i I + t^j \mathcal{O}_K$ is a basis of neighbourhoods of 0 in the additive topology of the ring $\mathcal{O}_K$, and $K = \varinjlim t^{-n} \cdot \mathcal{O}_K$ is equipped now by the topology of inductive limit. See also [12].)

Definition 4 (A residue map) Let $\omega \in {}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^2$, $\omega = \sum_i \omega_i(u) \wedge t^i dt$, then $\text{res}_{K/\bar{K}} \omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{res}_t \omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega_{-1}(u) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{\bar{K}}^1$.

The map $\text{res}_{K/\bar{K}}$ is well defined due to the following proposition.

Proposition 3 If we fix an embedding $\bar{K} \hookrightarrow K$, then res_t does not depend on the choice of the local parameter $t \in I$.

Proof. Actually this fact is about 1-dimensional local fields and follows from [14, ch. 2.].

Definition 5 (A residue map) Let $\omega \in {}_I\tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^2$, $\omega = \sum_i u^i du \wedge \omega_i(t)$, then $\text{res}_{K/F} \omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{res}_u \omega \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \omega_{-1}(t) \in \tilde{\Omega}_F^1$.

The map $\text{res}_{K/F}$ is well defined due to the following proposition:

Proposition 4 If we fix an embedding $F \hookrightarrow K$, then res_u does not depend on the choice of the local parameter $u \in I$.

Proof. Let u' be an other local parameter, i. e. $u' \in I$, $\nu_{\bar{K}}(\pi(u')) = 1$. Let

$$\text{res}_u \omega = \sum_i a_i t^i dt \quad \text{and} \quad \text{res}_{u'} \omega = \sum_i a'_i t'^i dt.$$

Then we have

$$a_i = \text{res}_{F/k}(t^{-1-i} \text{res}_u(\omega)) = \text{res}_{F/k} \cdot \text{res}_u(t^{-1-i} \omega) = \text{res}_{t,u}(t^{-1-i} \omega)$$

$$a'_i = \text{res}_{F/k}(t^{-1-i} \text{res}_{u'}(\omega)) = \text{res}_{F/k} \cdot \text{res}_{u'}(t^{-1-i} \omega) = \text{res}_{t,u'}(t^{-1-i} \omega),$$

where $\text{res}_{F/k} : \tilde{\Omega}_{F/k}^1 \rightarrow k$ is an usual residue map for the 1-dimensional local field described in [14, ch. 2]), $\text{res}_{t,u}$ and $\text{res}_{t,u'} : \tilde{\Omega}_{K/k}^2 \rightarrow k$ are residue maps of 2-dimensional local fields: $\text{res}_{t,u}(\sum a_{i,j} u^i t^j du \wedge dt) = a_{-1,-1}$. But from [12, ch. 2.5.3] (or [9]) $\text{res}_{K/k} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{res}_{t,u}$ does not depend on the choice of local parameters, i. e. $\text{res}_{t,u} = \text{res}_{t,u'}$. Therefore $a_i = a'_i$ and $\text{res}_u = \text{res}_{u'}$. The proof is finished.

1.2 Direct images.

Let k be a perfect field, X be a smooth algebraic surface over k , S be a smooth algebraic curve over k , $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a projective morphism over k . In the sequel assume $f : X \rightarrow S$ is a smooth morphism with connected fibres, but remark that the all further constructions are transfered to the nonsmooth case without difficulties.

Now let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_s$ be a complete local discrete valuation ring at point $s \in S$, let K_s be its fraction field. For the sake of the simplicity of further notations suppose $k(s) = k$. Let τ be a local parameter at point s , then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_s = k[[\tau]]$ and $K_s = k((\tau))$. Now for any closed point $x \in F$, where F is the fibre of $f : F = f^{-1}(s)$, and any irreducible curve $C \in X$ such that $x \in C$ and x is a nonsingular point of C (or C has only one analytic branch at x) one can construct a canonical 2-dimensional local field $K_{x,C}$. (See [12] or [9], [5]). Let us consider two cases.

1. If $C \neq F$, then $K_{x,C} \simeq k(C)_x((t_C))$, where $t_C = 0$ is a local equation of the curve C at the point x , $k(C)_x$ is the completion of the function field of the curve C at the point x . Besides, $k(C)_x$ is a finite extension of K_s under the map f^* .
2. If $C = F$, then $K_{x,F} \simeq k'((u))((t))$, where $k' = k(x)$, $t = f^*(\tau)$ and u is from $k(X)$ such that u and t are local parameters at the point x . Besides, $k'((t))$ is a finite separable extension of K_s under the map f^* .

Now let $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ be a local ring of the point x on surface X , let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ be a completion of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ at maximal ideal. Then for any irreducible curve $C \ni x$ there exists a canonical embedding of the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ to the field $K_{x,C}$. But the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X} = k'[[u, t]]$, and it is exactly the ring I from section 1.1. Therefore in the sequel we shall suppose $I = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ and we shall write $\tilde{\Omega}^*$ instead of ${}_I\tilde{\Omega}^*$.

Definition 6 (Direct image maps $f_*^{x,C} : \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k'}^2 \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_{K_s/k}^1$) 1. If $C \neq F$, then for any $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k'}^2$

$$f_*^{x,C}(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}_{k(C)_x/K_s} \cdot \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k(C)_x}(\omega) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{K_s/k}^1.$$

2. If $C = F$, then for any $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,F}/k'}^2$

$$f_*^{x,F}(\omega) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}_{k'((t))/K_s} \cdot \text{res}_{K_{x,F}/k'((t))}(\omega) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{K_s/k}^1.$$

Remark 3 Here Tr is a trace map of differential forms. See its definition in [14, ch. 2], also in [5] and [12] for inseparable case.

If x is a singular point of the irreducible curve C , then

$$K_{x,C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_i K_{x,C_i}$$

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_i \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C_i}}^2$$

and we put

$$f_*^{x,C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_i f_*^{x,C_i},$$

where C_i denote the "analytic" branches of C at x .

From definition 6 we obtain easily the following proposition:

Proposition 5 *Let $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_{x,C}/k'}}^2 \subset \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k'}^2$, i. e. $\omega = g dt_1 \wedge dt_2$ $\nu_{K_{x,C}}(g) \geq 0$ for $K_{x,C} = k'((t_1))((t_2))$ and $t_1, t_2 \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$; then*

1. *If $C \neq F$, then $f_*^{x,C}(\omega) = 0$.*
2. *If $C = F$, then $f_*^{x,F}(\omega) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{\mathcal{O}_{K_s/k}}^1$, i. e. $f_*^{x,F}(\omega) = \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = h d\tau$ and $\nu_{K_s}(h) \geq 0$.*

For any irreducible curve $C \in X$ let K_C be the completion of the field $k(X)$ with respect to the discrete valuation defined by C , i. e. $K_C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k(C)((t_C)) \subset K_{x,C}$. By $\mathcal{O}_C$ denote the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K_C}$, i. e. $\mathcal{O}_C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} k(C)[[t_C]]$. Note also that for any point $x \in C$ we have a natural map from $\Omega_{K_C/k}^*$ to $\tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k'}^*$.

Proposition 6 (The reciprocity law along a fibre.) *Let $\omega \in \Omega_{K_F/k}^2$, then the following series converges in the topology defined by the discrete valuation of the point s and*

$$\sum_{x \in F} f_*^{x,F}(\omega) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Remark 4 It can be that the sum (1) is really infinite. That is an example (see also [3]).

Let $X = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1$ and (u, t) are their coordinates,
 $S = \mathbf{A}^1$ with the coordinate t ,
 $F = \mathbf{P}^1$ and the point $s = (0, 0)$.

Then for $\omega = (u^{-1} + \frac{1}{u-1}t + \frac{1}{u-2}t^2 + \frac{1}{u-3}t^3 + \dots)du \wedge dt \in \tilde{\Omega}_{K_F/k}^2$,

at point $x = (l, 0)$ we have $f_*^{x,F}(\omega) = t^l dt$, where $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
at point $x = (\infty, 0)$ we have $f_*^{x,F}(\omega) = (-1 - t - t^2 - t^3 - \dots)dt$
at other points x we have $f_*^{x,F}(\omega) = 0$.

Proof. [of proposition 6]

Let $n = \nu_{K_F}(\omega)$, i. e. if $\omega = g du \wedge dt$ in some $\tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,F}/k'}^2$, then $n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nu_{K_{x,F}}(g)$ and this number does not depend on the choice of the point $x \in F$.

1. It is clear, that for any point $x \in F$ if $f_*^{x,F}(\omega) = h dt$, then $\nu_{K_s}(h) \geq n$.
2. For any $m \geq n$ there exists only a finite number of points $x \in F$ such that if $f_*^{x,F}(\omega) = h dt$ $h = a_n t^n + a_{n+1} t^{n+1} + \dots + a_m t^m + \dots$, then $a_m \neq 0$. And the sum over all such a_m is equal to 0 for each fixed m .

Indeed, if we fix the point $x \in F$ and $m \geq n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
a_m &= \text{res}_{k((t))/k}(t^{-1-m} \cdot f_*^{x,F}(\omega)) = \\
&= \text{res}_{k((t))/k}(t^{-1-m} \cdot \text{Tr}_{k'((t))/k((t))} \text{res}_{K_{x,F}/k'((t))}(\omega)) = \\
&= \text{res}_{k((t))/k}(\text{Tr}_{k'((t))/k((t))} \text{res}_{K_{x,F}/k'((t))}(t^{-1-m} \cdot \omega)) = \\
&= (\text{see remark 5}) = \\
&= \text{Tr}_{k'/k} \text{res}_{k'((t))/k'} \text{res}_{K_{x,F}/k'((t))}(t^{-1-m} \cdot \omega) = \\
&= \text{res}_{x,F}(t^{-1-m} \cdot \omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $(t^{-1-m}\omega) \in \Omega_{K_F/k}$, therefore the statement 2 follows from the reciprocity law along a projective curve for the 2-dimensional residue map: for any $\eta \in \Omega_{K_F/k}^2$ $\sum_{x \in F} \text{res}_{K_{x,F}/k} = 0$ and the number of terms $\neq 0$ in this sum is finite. (See [12, ch. 4.1], [9], [5]).

Now proposition 6 follows from statements 1 and 2.

Remark 5 In this proof we used the commutativity of the operations res and Tr . (See [14, ch. 2]).

For any point $x \in X$ let $K_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X} \cdot k(X)$ be the subring in fraction field of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. For any irreducible curve $C \ni x$ there exist canonical maps from K_x to $K_{x,C}$ and from $\Omega_{K_x/k}^*$ to $\tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k}^*$.

Proposition 7 (The reciprocity law around a point) Fix a point $x \in F$, $\omega \in \Omega_{K_x/k}^2$. Then in the following infinite series the number of terms $\neq 0$ is finite and

$$\sum_{C \ni x} f_*^{x,C}(\omega) = 0. \quad (2)$$

(The last sum is over all irreducible curves $C \subset X$ such that $x \in C$.)

Proof.

It is clear, that if $C \not\subset \text{Supp}(\omega)$, then $f_*^{x,C}(\omega) = 0$. Hence in the sum (2) the number of terms $\neq 0$ is finite. (Compare with proposition 6).

Let $\sum_{C \ni x} f_*^{x,C}(\omega) = (\sum_m a_m t^m) dt$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
a_m &= \text{res}_{k((t))/k}(t^{-1-m} \cdot \sum_{C \ni x} f_*^{x,C}(\omega)) = \\
&= \text{res}_{k((t))/k}(t^{-1-m} \cdot (\sum_{C \ni x} \text{Tr}_{k(C)_x/k((t))} \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k(C)_x}(\omega))) = \\
&= \text{res}_{k((t))/k}(\sum_{C \ni x} \text{Tr}_{k(C)_x/k((t))} t^{-1-m} \cdot \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k(C)_x}(\omega)) = \\
&= \text{res}_{k((t))/k}(\sum_{C \ni x} \text{Tr}_{k(C)_x/k((t))} t^{-1-m} \cdot \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k(C)_x}(\omega)) = \\
&= \sum_{C \ni x} \text{Tr}_{k'/k} \text{res}_{k(C)_x/k'} \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k(C)_x}(t^{-1-m} \cdot \omega) = \\
&= \sum_{C \ni x} \text{res}_{x,C}(t^{-1-m} \cdot \omega) = \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The last follows from the reciprocity law around the point for 2-dimensional residue map: for any $\eta \in \Omega_{K_x/k}^2$ $\sum_{C \ni x} \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k} = 0$. (See [12, ch. 4.1], [9]).

1.3 Adelic differentials and the Gysin morphism.

Retain all notations of the previous section.

Definition 7 Let S be a smooth curve, then

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (\dots, f_s d\tau_s, \dots) \in \prod_{s \in S} \tilde{\Omega}_{K_s/k}^1, \text{ where } \nu_{K_s}(f_s) \geq 0 \text{ almost everywhere} \right\}.$$

Here τ_s is a local parameter at the point s and ν_s is the corresponding discrete valuation.

For any divisor D of S suppose

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1, \text{ where } \nu_s(f_s) \geq -\nu_s(D)\}.$$

Definition 8 Let X be a smooth surface and a pair $x \in C$ runs over all irreducible curves $C \subset X$ and all points $x \in C$, then

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (\dots, \omega_{x,C}, \dots) \in \prod_{x \in C} \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k}^2 \text{ under the following conditions} \right\}$$

If $\omega_{x,C} = \sum_{i \geq \nu(\omega_{x,C})} \omega_i^{x,C}(u_{x,C}) \wedge t_C^i dt_C$, where $t_C = 0$ is local equation of the curve C and for all points x from some open smooth set U of the curve C

$$K_{x,C} = k(x)((u_{x,C}))((t_C)) \quad , \quad \omega_i^{x,C}(u_{x,C}) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{k(x)((u_{x,C}))}^1.$$

Then

1. there exists a divisor $D = \sum \nu_C(D) \cdot C$ on X such that $\nu(\omega_{x,C}) \geq \nu_C(D)$.

2. For fixed curve $C \subset X$ and i the collection $\omega_i^{x,C}(u_{x,C})$ belongs to $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_U}^1$ when x runs U .

Definition 9 Let D be a divisor on X , then

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2(D) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\omega \in \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2, \text{ where } \nu(\omega_{x,C}) \geq -\nu_C(D)\}.$$

Now define the map $f_* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x}} f_*^{x,C}$ from $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2 \subset \prod_{x \in C} \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k'}^2$ to $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1 \subset \prod_{s \in S} \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{s/k}}^1$.

Proposition 8 The map $f_* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x}} f_*^{x,C}$ from $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2$ to $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1$ is well defined, i. e. this infinite series converges at every point $s \in S$.

Proof.

Over each point $s \in S$ we have

$$\sum_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x}} f_*^{x,C} = \sum_{f(x)=s} f_*^{x,F} + \sum_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x \\ C \neq F}} f_*^{x,C}. \quad (3)$$

Now due to adelic conditions on elements of $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2$ the first sum from the right part of expression (3) converges. That can be proved by the same method as proposition 6.

The second sum contains only a finite number terms $\neq 0$. It follows easily from the definitions of $f_*^{x,C}$ (when $C \neq F$) and of $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2$.

Remark 6 Notice that the expression $\sum_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x}} f_*^{x,C}$ applied to the whole $\prod_{x \in C} \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k'}^2$ make not sense, since this series will not converge.

For the curve S consider the following complex $\Omega^1(\mathcal{A}_S)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{k(S)/k}^1 \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1(0) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1 \\ (f_0, f_1) & \longmapsto & f_0 + f_1 \end{array}.$$

Then from [14] we have that

$$H^*(\Omega^1(\mathcal{A}_S)) \simeq H^*(S, \Omega_S^1), \quad (4)$$

where Ω_S^1 is the sheaf of regular 1-differentials on the curve S .

Using the diagonal map of $\Omega_{K_C/k}^2$ to $\prod_{x \in C} \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k}^2$ and of $\Omega_{K_x/k}^2$ to $\prod_{C \ni x} \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k}^2$ we put

$$\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_1}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\prod_{C \subset X} \Omega_{K_C/k}^2 \right) \cap \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2, \quad \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_2}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\prod_{x \in X} \Omega_{K_x/k}^2 \right) \cap \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2$$

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_1}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\prod_{C \subset X} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_C}^2 / k \right) \cap \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2 \subset \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_1}^2 \quad , \quad \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_2}^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\prod_{x \in X} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{x,X}/k}^2 \right) \cap \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2 \subset \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_2}^2$$

For the surface X consider the following complex $\Omega^2(\mathcal{A}_X)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega_{k(X)/k}^2 \oplus \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_1}^2 \oplus \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_2}^2 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_2}^2 \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_1}^2 \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2(0) & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2 \\ (f_0, f_1, f_2) & \mapsto & (f_2 - f_0, f_0 + f_1, -f_1 - f_2) & & \\ & & (g_1, g_2, g_3) & \mapsto & g_1 + g_2 + g_3 \end{array}$$

From [12, ch. 4.2] (or [9]) we have

$$H^*(\Omega^2(\mathcal{A}_X)) \simeq H^*(X, \Omega_X^2), \quad (5)$$

where Ω_X^2 is the sheaf of regular 2-differentials on the surface X .

Now extend the map f_* to the complex $\Omega^2(\mathcal{A}_X)$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 9 *f_* maps the complex $\Omega^2(\mathcal{A}_X)$ to the complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_{k(S)/k}^1 \oplus \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1(0) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1$$

and this map is a morphism of complexes.

Corollary

f_ gives us the maps from $H^1(X, \Omega_X^2)$ to $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1)$ and from $H^2(X, \Omega_X^2)$ to $H^1(S, \Omega_S^1)$*

Proof (of corollary). It follows from 4 and 5.

Proof (of proposition 9). It is enough to prove the following statements:

1. $f_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_2}^2) = 0$. Indeed, by proposition 7 $\sum_{C \ni x} f_*^{x,C} = 0$ for each point $x \in X$, therefore
$$\sum_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x}} f_*^{x,C} = \sum_{f(x)=s} \left(\sum_{C \ni x} f_*^{x,C} \right).$$
2. $f_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_1}^2) \subset \Omega_{k(S)/k}^1$. Indeed, after an application of the first sum from the right part of expression (3) to $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_1}^2$ we obtain 0 by proposition 6. The application of the second sum from the right part of expression (3) to $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_1}^2$ yields us elements of $\Omega_{k(S)/k}^1$.
3. $f_*(\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X(0)}^2) \subset \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S(0)}^1$ by proposition 5.
4. $f_*(\Omega_{k(X)/k}^2) = 0$ and $f_*(\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_2}^2) = 0$ by statement 1.
 $f_*(\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_1}^2) = 0$ by trivial reasons like statement 2.

Proposition 10 *If X and S are projective varieties, then the constructed map f_* from $H^2(X, \Omega_X^2)$ to $H^1(S, \Omega_S^1)$ is dual to the pull-back map f^* from $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$ to $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, i. e. f_* is the Gysin map.*

Proof is an easy consequence of the definition f_* , an equality $\text{res}_{K_s/k} f_*^{x,C} = \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k}$, and of the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
k & \xleftarrow{\sum \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k}} & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq k \times \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2 / (\Omega_{\mathbb{A}_1}^2 + \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_2}^2 + \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_X}^2(0)) \\
\parallel & & \uparrow \text{id} \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow f_* \\
k & \xleftarrow{\sum \text{res}_{K_s/k}} & H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \simeq k \times \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1 / (\Omega_{k(S)/k}^1 + \Omega_{\mathbb{A}_S}^1(0)).
\end{array}$$

Here $\times$ is the cup-product; $\sum_{x \in C} \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k}$ gives us the Serre duality between $H^2(X, \Omega_X^2)$ and $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$; $\sum_s \text{res}_{K_s/k}$ is the Serre duality between $H^1(S, \Omega_S^1)$ and $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$. (See [12, ch. 4.3].)

2 Direct images and symbols.

During this section assume $\text{char } k = 0$. All other assumptions and notations retain from section 1.2. Let us remark the following facts.

If $K = k((t))$ is 1-dimensional local field, then

$$K^* \simeq \{t^m\} \times \mathcal{U}_K \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{U}_K \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}_K \simeq k^* \times \mathcal{U}_K^1, \quad (6)$$

where the group of 1-units $\mathcal{U}_K^1 = 1 + m_K$, i. e. $\mathcal{U}_K^1 = 1 + t \cdot k[[t]]$.

If $K = k((u))((t))$ is 2-dimensional local field, then

$$K^* \simeq \{t^m u^n\} \times \mathcal{E}_K \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathcal{E}_K \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_K \simeq k^* \times \mathcal{E}_K^1, \quad (7)$$

where the group of 1-units $\mathcal{E}_K^1 = 1 + \pi^{-1}(m_{\bar{K}})$, i. e. $\mathcal{E}_K^1 = 1 + u \cdot k[[u]] + t \cdot k((u))[[t]]$. (Remind that $\pi : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \bar{K}$ is the canonical map.)

If K is a field, ν is the discrete valuation of K , m_K is the maximal ideal of the valuation ring, $\bar{K}$ is the residue field of K , then for any φ and ψ from K^* the tame symbol $(,)_K$ from $K^* \times K^*$ to $\bar{K}^*$ is

$$(\varphi, \psi)_K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} ((-1)^{\nu(\varphi)\nu(\psi)} \varphi^{\nu(\psi)} \psi^{-\nu(\varphi)}) \bmod m_K. \quad (8)$$

If $K = k((u))((t))$ is a 2-dimensional local field, then for any ϕ , φ and ψ from K^* one can define an analogous symbol $(, ,)_K$ from $K^* \times K^* \times K^*$ to k^* :

$$(\phi, \varphi, \psi)_K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\partial_2 \partial_3 (\phi, \varphi, \psi))^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

Here (ϕ, φ, ψ) belongs to the Milnor K -group $K_3^M(K)$, $\partial_m : K_m^M(L) \rightarrow K_{m-1}^M(\bar{L})$ is the boundary map for any local field L and its residue field $\bar{L}$. (See [6] or [12, ch. 3.1].) As above, there exists an explicit formula for $(, ,)_K$. (See [12, ch. 3.2] or [11, §3].)

Now we shall give the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Fix a point $x \in X$, an irreducible curve $C \ni x$ such that x is a nonsingular point of C , and a point $s \in S$ such that $f(x) = s$; then there exists a map $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ from $K_{x,C}^* \times K_{x,C}^*$ to K_s^* such that the following conditions hold:

1. for any $\varphi, \psi \in K_{x,C}^*$, $\xi \in K_s^*$

$$\text{Nm}_{k(x)/k}(\varphi, \psi, f^*(\xi))_{K_{x,C}} = (f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,C}, \xi)_{K_s} \quad (10)$$

2. for any $\varphi, \psi \in K_{x,C}^*$, $\zeta \in K_s$

$$\text{Tr}_{k(x)/k}(\varphi, \psi; f^*(\zeta))_{K_{x,C}} = (f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,C}; \zeta)_{K_s} \quad , \quad (11)$$

where

$$(\varphi, \psi; \varsigma)_{K_{x,C}} = \text{res}_{K_{x,C}/k} \left(\varsigma \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge \frac{d\psi}{\psi} \right) \quad , \quad \varsigma \in K_{x,C}$$

and

$$(\xi; \zeta)_{K_s} = \text{res}_{K_s/k} \left(\zeta \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \right) \quad , \quad \xi \in K_s^* .$$

Remark 7 If x is a singular point of an irreducible curve C , then we put $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C} = \prod_i f_*(\ , \)_{x,C_i}$, where C_i are the "analytic" branches of the curve C at the point x . (Compare with section 1.2.)

This theorem will be proved later by means of an explicit formula for $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$. (See theorem 2.)

Corollary 1 The map $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ is uniquely determined by the conditions of theorem 1.

Proof (of corollary 1).

Let there exists two maps satisfied the conditions of theorem 1. Then if we divide the first map by the second map we obtain that there exists an element $\zeta \in K_s^*$, $\zeta \neq 1$ such that for any element $\xi \in K_s^*$:

$$(\zeta, \xi)_{K_s} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (\zeta; \xi)_{K_s} = 0. \quad (12)$$

But this is not right, since

1. If $\nu_{K_s}(\zeta) \neq 0$, then for $\xi \in k^*$, $\xi^{\nu_{K_s}(\zeta)} \neq 1$ we have $(\zeta, \xi)_{K_s} \neq 1$. This contradicts expressions (12).
2. If $\nu_{K_s}(\zeta) = 0$ but $\zeta \notin \mathcal{U}_{K_s}^1$, then $(\zeta, \tau)_{K_s} \neq 1$. (Remind $K_s \simeq k((\tau))$).
3. If $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}_{K_s}^1$ and $\nu_{K_s}(\zeta - 1) = n$, then $(\zeta; \tau^{-n})_{K_s} \neq 0$.

Corollary 2 *The map $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ is a symbol map, i. e. $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ is well defined as the map:*

$$f_*(\ , \)_{x,C} : K_2(K_{x,C}) \longrightarrow K_1(K_s).$$

Proof (of corollary 2).

Note that from definitions of $(\ , \ , \)_{K_{x,C}}$ and $(\ , \ ; \]_{K_{x,C}}$ (or from [12]) we obtain that the map $(\ , \ , \)_{K_{x,C}} : K_{x,C}^* \times K_{x,C}^* \times K_{x,C}^*$ to k^* is well defined as a map from $K_2(K_{x,C}) \times K_{x,C}^*$ to k^* and the map from $(\ , \ ; \]_{K_{x,C}} : K_{x,C}^* \times K_{x,C}^* \times K_{x,C}$ to k is well defined as a map from $K_2(K_{x,C}) \times K_{x,C}$ to k . Now the proof is done by means of the same methods as the proof of corollary 1.

Corollary 3 (The reciprocity law along a fibre.) *Fix a point $s \in S$, the fibre $F = f^{-1}(s)$, $\varphi, \psi \in K_F^*$. Then the following infinite product converges in K_s^* and*

$$\prod_{x \in F} f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F} = 1.$$

Proof (of corollary 3).

From [12, ch. 7.1] (or [8] and [9]) we have analogous reciprocity laws along a projective curve F on the surface X for $(\ , \ , \)_{K_{x,F}}$ and $(\ , \ ; \]_{K_{x,F}}$:

$$\prod_{x \in F} (\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)_{K_{x,F}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{x \in F} (\phi_1, \phi_2; \zeta]_{K_{x,F}} = 0 \quad (13)$$

for any $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \in K_{x,F}^*$, $\zeta \in K_{x,F}$. Besides, in this product (this sum) the number of terms $\neq 1$ ($\neq 0$) is finite.

Hence by means of expressions (10) and (11) we obtain that for fixed $\xi \in K_s^*$ there exists only a finite number of points $x \in F$ such that $(f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F}, \xi)_{K_s} \neq 1$ and $(f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F}, \xi]_{K_s} \neq 0$.

Now as in the proof of corollary 1 if we consider $\xi = a \in k^*$, $a \neq 1$, then we receive, that there exists only a finite number of points $x \in F$ such that $\nu_{K_s}(f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F}) \neq 0$. If we consider $\xi = \tau$, then we receive, that there exists a finite number of points $x \in F$ such that $\nu_{K_s}(f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F}) = 0$, but $f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F} \notin \mathcal{U}_{K_s}^1$. If we consider $\xi = \tau^{-n}$, $n \geq 1$, then we obtain, that for every $n \geq 1$ there exists only a finite number of points $x \in F$ such that $f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F} \in \mathcal{U}_{K_s}^1$ and $\nu_{K_s}(f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F} - 1) = n$. Hence it follows, that the product from corollary 3 converges in $k((\tau))^*$.

Now the equality $\prod_{x \in F} f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F} = 1$ follows from (13) and corollary 1.

Corollary 4 (The reciprocity law around a point.) *Fix a point $x \in X$, $\varphi, \psi \in K_x^*$. Then in the following product the number of terms $\neq 1$ is finite and*

$$\prod_{C \ni x} f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,C} = 1, \quad (14)$$

where C runs all irreducible curves $C \subset X$ such that $x \in C$.

Proof (of corollary 4).

The equality (14) is received by the same methods as analogous equality of corollary 3. The finiteness of terms of equality (14) will follow from an explicit construction of $f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,C}$, when $C \neq F$. (See theorem 2).

Now we shall formulate the following theorem.

Theorem 2 (Explicit formulas.) *The map $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$, which is uniquely determined by corollary 1 of theorem 1, is given by the following explicit formulas.*

1. If $C \neq F$, then for any φ and ψ from $K_{x,C}^*$

$$f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,C} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Nm}_{k(C)_x/K_s}(\varphi, \psi)_{K_{x,C}},$$

where $(\ , \)_{K_{x,C}}$ is the tame symbol, which is determined by the discrete valuation corresponding to the local parameter t_C of the field $K_{x,C}$.

2. If $C = F$, then for any φ and ψ from $K_{x,F}^*$

$$f_*(\varphi, \psi)_{x,F} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Nm}_{k'((t))/k((t))}((\varphi, \psi)_{f,F}),$$

where a bimultiplicative map $(\varphi, \psi)_{f,F} : K_{x,F}^* \times K_{x,F}^* \rightarrow k'((t))^*$ is given by the following table ($K_{x,F} \simeq k'((u))((t))$).

	u	t	$b \in k'^*$	$\varepsilon_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{K_{x,F}}^1$
u	-1	t	b	$\exp \text{res}_u(\ln \varepsilon_2 \frac{du}{u})$
t	t^{-1}	1	1	1
$a \in k'^*$	a^{-1}	1	1	1
$\varepsilon_1 \in \mathcal{E}_{K_{x,F}}^1$	$\left(\exp \text{res}_u(\ln \varepsilon_1 \frac{du}{u})\right)^{-1}$	1	1	$\left(\exp \text{res}_u(\ln \varepsilon_1 \frac{du \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_2})\right)^{-1}$

(15)

Here the first column is the first argument of the map $(\ , \)_{f,F}$, the first row is the second argument of this map.

By the multiplicative property and decomposition (7), the map $(\ , \)_{f,F}$ is extended to the whole group $K_{x,F}^* \times K_{x,F}^*$.

Now if we verify conditions 1 and 2 of theorem 1 for the constructed map $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ from theorem 2, then we shall simultaneously prove theorems 1 and 2.

Remark 8 Here res_u is a slightly modified map res_u from section 1.1; "new" res_u is a map from $K_{x,F} \cdot d_u(K_{x,F})$ to $k'((t))$, where for any ϕ from $K_{x,F}$ $d_u \phi = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial u} du$:

$$\text{res}_u\left(\sum_i u^i \cdot f_i(t) du\right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_{-1}(t) \in k'((t)) \quad .$$

(Compare with definition 5). All properties of the "old" map res_u (definition 5) transferred without changing to the "new" map res_u .

Remark 9 In the definition of the map $f_*(\ , \)_{x,F}$ we use local parameters u and t in the table 15. It arises a question about the dependence of this definition on the choice of the local parameters. But $f_*(\ , \)_{x,F}$ satisfy the conditions of theorem 1. Therefore by corollary 1 of theorem 1 this map does not depend on the choice of the local parameters $u \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ and $\tau \in K_s$. (Remind that we fix the canonical embedding $f^* : K_s \hookrightarrow K_{x,F}$ and $t = F^*(\tau)$.)

Remark 10 From corollary 2 of theorem 1, corollary 4 of theorem 1 and from table (15) it follows easily that the map $(\ , \)_{f,F}$ from theorem 2 satisfy the conditions of lemma 7 from [3]. Therefore the map $(\ , \)_{f,F}$ is the back map of the Kato's "residue homomorphism" introduced by him in [3].

Remark 11 If we change in the table (15) all "letters" u by "letters" t and all "letters" t by "letters" u we obtain the back map to the usual tame symbol.

Remark 12 Here is some properties of the table (15), which will be useful later:

- This table is the skew-symmetric table with respect to the diagonal.
- The diagonal elements $(u, u)_{f,F}$ and $(t, t)_{f,F}$ is regenerated from the nondiagonal elements by means of the equality $(\varphi, \varphi)_{f,F} = (-1, \varphi)_{f,F}$.
- The last row can be expressed by means of uniform formula: for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_{K_{x,F}}^1$ and $\psi \in K_{x,F}^*$

$$(\varphi, \psi)_{f,F} = \left(\exp \operatorname{res}_u \left(\ln \varphi \frac{d_u \psi}{\psi} \right) \right)^{-1}.$$

In table (15) we use maps $\exp$ and $\ln$ in 2-dimensional local fields. These maps are well defined by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1 *Let K be a 2-dimensional local field and $m = \mathcal{E}_K^1 - 1$. Then the maps $\ln : \mathcal{E}_K^1 \rightarrow m$ and $\exp : m \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_K^1$ defined by the corresponding series converges in the topology of 2-dimensional local field. (See definition of this topology in [12] or [11]). Besides, these maps are mutual isomorphisms between $\mathcal{E}_K^1$ and m .*

Proof. From [12] (or [11]) for the convergence of the series $\ln$ and $\exp$ it is enough to prove that if $x \in m$, then $x^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. But the last is trivial. (See [12] or [11]).

Now by the same method as in [1] we obtain that the maps $\ln$ and $\exp$ are mutual isomorphisms.

From the following lemma we obtain that the exponential map in 1-dimensional local field from the table (15) is well defined.

Lemma 2 *Let $K = k((u))((t))$ be a 2-dimensional local field, n is the maximal ideal of local ring $k[[u]]$. Then for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1$ and $\psi \in K$ the values of the expression $\operatorname{res}_u \left(\ln \varphi \frac{d_u \psi}{\psi} \right)$ are in n .*

Proof. The map $\text{res}_u(\ln \varphi \frac{d_u \psi}{\psi})$ is the multiplicative map with respect to the second argument. Therefore the proof will follow from the checking on the multiplicative generators of the field K . (See decomposition (7).) This checking is by direct calculations and we omit it here.

Now we start to prove properties (10) and (11) for the maps from theorem 2. For this goal we shall need in the following well-known lemma.

Lemma 3 *Let $k((\tau)) \hookrightarrow k'((\tau'))$ be an embedding of fields. Then for any ζ from $k'((\tau'))^*$ and ξ from $k((\tau))^*$ we have:*

$$\text{Nm}_{k'/k}(\zeta, \xi)_{k'((\tau'))} = (\text{Nm}_{k'((\tau'))/k((\tau))} \zeta, \xi)_{k((\tau))} \quad ,$$

where $(\ , \)_{k'((\tau'))}$ and $(\ , \)_{k((\tau))}$ are the tame symbols in the 1-dimensional local fields $k'((\tau'))$ and $k((\tau))$.

Proof. See [14, ch. 3, §4, lemma 3].

Proof of the property (10) from theorem 1 for the map $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ from theorem 2:

1. If $C \neq F$, then from lemma 3 and definition of $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ we obtain that it will be enough to prove:

$$(\varphi, \psi, f^*(\xi))_{K_{x,C}} = ((\varphi, \psi)_C, \xi)_{k(C)_x}$$

But the last expression is an obvious corollary of expression (9) and of the following expression:

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)_{K_{x,C}} = (\partial_2(\alpha, \beta), \gamma)_{k(C)_x} \quad ,$$

where α and β are from $K_{x,C}^*$, γ is from $k(C)_x^*$.

2. If $C = F$, then due to lemma 3 it will be enough to verify the following expression:

$$(\varphi, \psi, \xi)_{K_{x,F}} = ((\varphi, \psi)_{f,F}, \xi)_{k'((t))} \quad (16)$$

for any φ, ψ from $K_{x,F}^*$ and ξ from $k'((t))^*$. But from the multiplicative property of expression (16) this expression will follow after its checking on the multiplicative generators of the fields $K_{x,F}$ and $k'((t))$. (See decompositions (6) and (7).) We have some cases. But all cases appeared here is proved by easy direct calculations and we omit it here. The proof of property (10) is finished.

Proof of the property (11) from theorem 1 for the map $f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ from theorem 2.

At first, it is an easy remark, that the property (11) is equivalent to the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{x,C}^* \times K_{x,C}^* & \xrightarrow{(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge \frac{d\psi}{\psi}} & \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k'}^2 \\ \downarrow f_*(\ , \)_{x,C} & & \downarrow f_*^{x,C} \\ K_s^* & \xrightarrow{h \mapsto \frac{dh}{h}} & \tilde{\Omega}_{K_s/k}^1 \end{array} \quad (17)$$

At second, we shall need in the following well known lemma:

Lemma 4 *Let $k((\tau)) \hookrightarrow k'((\tau'))$ be an embedding of fields, then the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k'((\tau'))^* & \xrightarrow{f \mapsto \frac{df}{f}} & \tilde{\Omega}_{k'((\tau'))/k'}^1 \\ \downarrow \text{Nm} & & \downarrow \text{Tr} \\ k((\tau))^* & \xrightarrow{g \mapsto \frac{dg}{g}} & \tilde{\Omega}_{k((\tau))/k}^1 \end{array}$$

Now from lemma 4, diagram (17) and remark 5 we obtain easily that property (11) follows from the following two lemmas:

1. $C \neq F$

Lemma 5 *Let $K = k((t))(u)$ be a 2-dimensional local field. Then for any ξ from $\bar{K} = k((t))$, f and g from K^* we have*

$$\text{res}_{\bar{K}/k} \left(\xi \cdot \frac{d(f, g)_K}{(f, g)_K} \right) = \text{res}_{K/k} \left(\xi \cdot \frac{df}{f} \wedge \frac{dg}{g} \right) . \quad (18)$$

2. $C = F$

Lemma 6 *Let $K = k((u))(t)$ be a 2-dimensional local field. Then for any φ and ψ from K^* , ξ from $k((t))$ we have*

$$\text{res}_{K/k} \left(\xi \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge \frac{d\psi}{\psi} \right) = \text{res}_{k((t))/k} \left(\xi \frac{d(\varphi, \psi)_{f,F}}{(\varphi, \psi)_{f,F}} \right) . \quad (19)$$

Proof (of lemma 5).

Let us remark, that the left and right hand sides of formula (18) are additive expressions with respect to ξ and multiplicative expressions with respect to f and g . Besides, left and right sides of formula (18) are symbol expressions, i. e. if $f = \varphi$, $g = 1 - \varphi$, then these expressions are equal to 0. Therefore we shall consider cases when $\nu_t(\xi) \geq 0$ or $\xi = t^{-l}$ for all $l \geq 1$.

If $\nu_t(\xi) \geq 0$, then formula (18) is expression (6) from [12, ch. 2.5.3] (or see [9, prop. 2(5)], [5]).

Now let $\xi = t^{-l}$, $l \geq 1$. Then by the multiplicative property it is enough to consider cases when $f \in k^*$, $f \in \mathcal{E}_K^1$, $f = t$, $f = u$ and $g \in k^*$, $g \in \mathcal{E}_K^1$, $g = t$, $g = u$. By the skew-symmetric property with respect to f and g some cases can be omitted. All cases appeared here are proved by easy direct calculations. Therefore we omit their proofs. As an example, we consider only one case: let $f \in \mathcal{E}_K^1$, $g = u$. We have $\mathcal{E}_K^1 = (1 + t \cdot k[[t]])(1 + u \cdot k((t))[[u]])$, therefore there exists a decomposition $f = e_1 \cdot e_2$, where $e_1 \in 1 + t \cdot k[[t]]$, $e_2 \in 1 + u \cdot k((t))[[u]]$. Then on the left hand side of (18) we have:

$$\text{res}_{\bar{K}/k} \left(t^{-l} \cdot \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(e_1 \cdot e_2, u)_K}{(e_1 \cdot e_2, u)_K} dt \right) = \text{res}_{\bar{K}/k} \left(t^{-l} \cdot \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_1}{e_1} dt \right) . \quad (20)$$

On the right hand side of (18) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{res}_{K/k} \left(t^{-l} \cdot \frac{d(e_1 \cdot e_2)}{e_1 \cdot e_2} \wedge \frac{du}{u} \right) = \\
& = \text{res}_{K/k} \left(t^{-l} \cdot \frac{de_1}{e_1} \wedge \frac{du}{u} \right) + \text{res}_{K/k} \left(t^{-l} \cdot \frac{de_2}{e_2} \wedge \frac{du}{u} \right) = \\
& = \text{res}_{\bar{K}/k} \left(t^{-l} \frac{\partial e_1}{\partial t} dt \right) + \text{res}_{K/k} \left(t^{-l} \cdot \frac{de_2}{e_2} \wedge \frac{du}{u} \right) .
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the last expression with (20) we obtain, that it is enough to prove $\text{res}_{K/k}(t^{-l} \cdot \frac{de_2}{e_2} \wedge \frac{du}{u}) = 0$. But $t^{-l} \cdot \frac{de_2}{e_2} \wedge \frac{du}{u} = t^{-l} \cdot \frac{\partial e_2}{\partial t} \frac{e_2}{e_2 \cdot u} \wedge du$, and $\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial t} \frac{e_2}{e_2 \cdot u} \in u \cdot k((t))[[u]]$, therefore $\frac{\partial e_2}{\partial t} \frac{e_2}{e_2 \cdot u} \in k((t))[[u]]$, and $\text{res}_{K/\bar{K}}(t^{-l} \cdot \frac{de_2}{e_2} \wedge \frac{du}{u}) = 0$. The proof of lemma 5 is finished.

Proof (of lemma 6).

As above, from the additive property with respect to ξ , the multiplicative property with respect to φ and ψ , and the skew-symmetric property with respect to φ and ψ we have some cases:

1. $\nu_{k((t))}(\xi) \geq 0$, then the left part of (19) is equal to $\bar{\xi} \cdot \det \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{\bar{K}}(\pi(\varphi)) & \nu_K(\varphi) \\ \nu_{\bar{K}}(\pi(\psi)) & \nu_K(\psi) \end{pmatrix}$, where $\bar{\xi} \in k$, $\bar{\xi} = \xi \bmod (t \cdot k[[t]])$. (See [12, ch. 2.5.3, prop. 6], or [9, prop. 2(5)])
We have several subcases:

- (a) Let $\nu_{k((t))}(\xi) > 0$, then $\bar{\xi} = 0$ and the left part of (19) is equal to 0. By checking on multiplicative generators of K we conclude, that the right part of (19) is also equal to 0.
- (b) Let $\nu_{k((t))}(\xi) = 0$. Then we can consider $\xi \in \mathcal{U}_{k((t))}^1$. Therefore we have to prove

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{\bar{K}}(\pi(\varphi)) & \nu_K(\varphi) \\ \nu_{\bar{K}}(\pi(\psi)) & \nu_K(\psi) \end{pmatrix} = \text{res}_{k((t))/k} \left(\xi \frac{d(\varphi, \psi)_{f,F}}{(\varphi, \psi)_{f,F}} \right) ,$$

where $\pi : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \bar{K}$ is the canonical map.

The last formula is proved by direct checking on the multiplicative generators of K .

2. $\xi = t^{-k}$, $k \geq 1$. We have again some uncomplicated cases on values of φ and ψ . We omit almost all cases here and consider only one. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}_K^1$, $\psi \in K^*$. Then expression (19) is equivalent to the following expression:

$$\text{res}_u \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge \frac{d\psi}{\psi} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{d_t(\exp \text{res}_u(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{d_u \psi}{\psi}))}{\exp \text{res}_u(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{d_u \psi}{\psi})} . \quad (21)$$

Transform the right part of (21):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d_t(\exp \text{res}_u(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{d_u \psi}{\psi}))}{\exp \text{res}_u(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{d_u \psi}{\psi})} = d_t(\ln(\exp \text{res}_u(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{d_u \psi}{\psi}))) = \\
& = d_t(\text{res}_u(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{d_u \psi}{\psi})) = \text{res}_u(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi})) du \wedge dt .
\end{aligned}$$

Now (21) is equivalent to the following expression:

$$\text{res}_u \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge \frac{d\psi}{\psi} \stackrel{?}{=} \text{res}_u \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \right) \right) du \wedge dt \quad .$$

We shall compare the differential forms from left and right hand sides of the last expression: $\frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge \frac{d\psi}{\psi}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt$.

The first differential form is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge \frac{d\psi}{\psi} &= \frac{1}{\varphi\psi} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} du + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} dt \right) \wedge \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} du + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} dt \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi\psi} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \right) du \wedge dt \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The second differential form is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt = \\ &= \left(-\frac{\partial \ln \varphi}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt - \ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt = \\ &= -\frac{1}{\varphi\psi} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} du \wedge dt - \ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Subtracting the expression (23) from (22) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d\varphi}{\varphi} \wedge \frac{d\psi}{\psi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt = \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi\psi} \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} du \wedge dt + \ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt = \\ &= \frac{\partial(\ln \varphi)}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} du \wedge dt + \ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt = \\ &= \frac{\partial(\ln \varphi)}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} du \wedge dt + \ln \varphi \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \psi \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} du \wedge dt + \\ &+ \ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt = \\ &= \frac{\partial(\ln \varphi)}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} du \wedge dt + \ln \varphi \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} du \wedge dt + \\ &+ \ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial u} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\psi^2} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) du \wedge dt = \\ &= \frac{\partial(\ln \varphi)}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} du \wedge dt + \ln \varphi \cdot \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} du \wedge dt + \\ &+ \ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{1}{\psi} \right) du \wedge dt = \\ &= \frac{\partial(\ln \varphi)}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} du \wedge dt + \ln \varphi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{1}{\psi} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) du \wedge dt = \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\ln \varphi \cdot \frac{1}{\psi} \cdot \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) du \wedge dt \quad . \end{aligned}$$

But for any ζ from K

$$\text{res}_u \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} (\zeta) du \wedge dt \right) = 0 \quad .$$

Therefore expressions (22) and (23) gives us the same residues with respect to the parameter u . The proof of lemma 6 is finished.

Now the proof of property (11) from theorem 1 is finished. Thus we proved theorem 1 and theorem 2.

3 K_2 -adeles, cohomology of K_2 -functors and K_2 -Gysin morphism

Retain all notations from section 2 and subsection 1.2. Note also that in this section we shall not assume $\text{char} k = 0$ except for specially marked cases.

Besides, if $K_{x,C} \simeq k((t_1))((t_2))$ is a 2-dimensional local field, which associated to the pair $x \in C$; then by $\mathcal{O}_{x,C}$ denote the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K_{x,C}}$, i. e. $\mathcal{O}_{x,C} \simeq k((t_1))[[t_2]]$. Remind also, that by $\mathcal{O}_C$ we have denoted the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K_C}$, where $K_C \simeq k(C)((t_C))$ and $C \subset X$ is an irreducible curve.

By $(\ , \)_C$ denote the tame symbol associated with the irreducible curve C . (Such curve C give the discrete valuation of the fields $k(X)$ and K_C .)

Let $\hat{K}_{x,X}$ be the fraction field of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$.

Let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}(\infty C) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})_{(t_C)}$ be the localization of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ along the ideal (t_C) of the curve C .

If A is a ring, then let $K_2(A)$ be the Quillen K -functor. If γ is an ideal of the ring A , then $K_2(A, \gamma) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ker} (K_2(A) \xrightarrow{p} K_2(A/\gamma))$.

By Stein result (see [7]) we have an explicit description of functor K_2 for some rings:

1. Let A be a local ring, then $K_2(A)$ is the group, generated by symbols (u, v) , i. e. u and v are from A^* and satisfy the usual symbol properties: the bimultiplicative property and $(\varphi, 1 - \varphi) = 1$ for φ and $1 - \varphi$ from A^* .
2. Let A be a local ring, γ be an ideal in maximal ideal of A . Then the natural map $p : K_2(A) \rightarrow K_2(A/\gamma)$ is the quotient map of symbols, and $K_2(A, \gamma)$ as subgroup of $K_2(A)$ is generated by the following symbols: $(1 + i, u)$, where $i \in \gamma$, $u \in A^*$.

We have the following well known propositions:

Proposition 11 *Let K be the complete field of discrete valuation with residue field $\bar{K}$, then*

$$K_2(\mathcal{O}_K) \cong \text{Ker} (K_2(K) \xrightarrow{(\cdot, \cdot)_K} \bar{K}^*) \quad .$$

Proposition 12

$$K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}) \simeq \text{Ker} \left(K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X}) \xrightarrow{\oplus_{(\cdot, \cdot)_C} } \bigoplus_C k(C)^* \right) ,$$

where this direct sum is over all prime ideals C of height 1 of the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ ($\simeq$ irreducible curves C in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$), $k(C)$ is the fraction field of quotient ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ by C , $(\cdot, \cdot)_C$ is the tame symbol associated with C .

Remark about the proof of these propositions.

From above explicit descriptions of K_2 in the symbol language these propositions can be proved by means of noncomplete direct calculations with symbols. As an example, we give later a such proof of proposition 12.

Note also that these both propositions follow from Gersten resolution. For example, for the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ this resolution is proved in [13, § 7, theorems 5.6 and 5.13] and its beginning is following:

$$0 \longrightarrow K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X}) \xrightarrow{\oplus_{(\cdot, \cdot)_C} } \bigoplus_{C \subset X} K_1(k(C)) \longrightarrow \dots$$

And the first cohomology group of this complex coincides with

$$H^0(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}, \mathcal{K}_2(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})) \simeq K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}).$$

(Here $\mathcal{K}_2(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})$ is the sheaf on $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ associated with the presheaf $\{U \longmapsto K_2(U) ; U \text{ is open set in } \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}\}$.)

Proof of proposition 12. From the symbol representation of $K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})$ it is clear, that $K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}) \subset \text{Ker} \left(K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_C k(C)^* \right)$. Therefore we have to prove an back inclusion. Let $a = \prod_i (f_i, g_i) \in K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X})$ such that $a_C = \prod_i (f_i, g_i)_C = 1$ for any irreducible curve C in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. We shall prove that $a = \prod_j (h_j, d_j)$, where h_j and d_j are from $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}^*$, i. e. $a \in K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})$.

The ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ is the ring with a unique decomposition on prime factors. Therefore from the multiplicative property of symbols we have the following decomposition $a = \prod_k a_k$, where $a_k = (p_k, q_k)$ and q_k, p_k are prime or invertible elements in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$.

Fix some prime element t from a collection $\{p_k\}$ or $\{q_k\}$. Denote by b the product those a_k , for which either $p_k = t$ or $q_k = t$.

We shall prove, that $b \in K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})$. From the multiplicative property, the skew-symmetric property and the identity $(t, t) = (-1, t)$ one can suppose that $b = (\gamma \cdot t_1^{m_1} \cdot \dots \cdot t_l^{m_l}, t) \cdot h$, where $\gamma \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}^*$, t_i are prime elements from $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ and $t_i \neq t$ for all i , $h \in K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})$. It is clear from decomposition of b , that $(a \cdot b^{-1})_{(t)} = 1$. Besides, $(a)_{(t)} = 1$. Therefore $(b)_{(t)} = 1$. Hence, $\gamma \cdot t_1^{m_1} \cdot \dots \cdot t_l^{m_l} = 1 + t \cdot d$ for some $d \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. But $1 + t \cdot d \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}^*$, hence $m_i = 0$ for all i from the uniqueness of decomposition on prime

factors. Therefore $b = (1 + t \cdot e, t) \cdot h$ for some $e \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. Now we have two cases: 1) if $e \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}^*$, then $(1 + t \cdot e, t) = (1 + t \cdot e, t)(1 + t \cdot e, -t \cdot e)^{-1} = (1 + t \cdot e, -e^{-1}) \in K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})$; 2) if $e \notin \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}^*$, then $(1 + t \cdot e, t) = ((1 - t)(1 + t \cdot e), t) = (1 + t \cdot (-1 + e - t \cdot e), t)$, now $-1 + e - t \cdot e \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}^*$, therefore it is the previous case.

We proved $b \in K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})$, therefore $b_C = 1$ for all C . Hence $(a \cdot b^{-1})_C = 1$ for all C , and the symbol decomposition of $a \cdot b^{-1}$ does not contain the prime element t . Now the proof is by induction on prime elements from collections $\{p_k\}$ and $\{q_k\}$. The proof is finished.

Remind that $K_x = k(X) \cdot \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$.

Proposition 13 *The following complex is an exact complex in the middle term:*

$$K_2(K_x) \xrightarrow{\varphi} K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X}) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{\tilde{C}} (\cdot, \cdot)_{\tilde{C}}} \bigoplus_{\tilde{C}} k(\tilde{C})^*, \quad (24)$$

where the sum is over all prime ideals $\tilde{C}$ of height 1 of the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ such that $\tilde{C}$ does not divide any prime ideal of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ under the natural embedding $\mathcal{O}_{x,X} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$, and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\tilde{C}}$ is the tame symbol associated with $\tilde{C}$.

Proof.

It is clear, that K_x is 1-dimensional domain of principal ideals. Therefore K_x is the Dedekind ring, whose maximal ideals are in one-to-one correspondence to prime ideals $\tilde{C}$ of height 1 of the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ such that $\tilde{C}$ does not divide any prime ideal of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$. Besides, $\hat{K}_{x,X}$ is the fraction field of the ring K_x . Now sequence (24) is a part of the long K -sequence for any Dedekind ring, see [13]. Proposition 13 is proved.

Let us denote $\check{K}_2(K_x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Im} (K_2(K_x) \xrightarrow{\varphi} K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X}))$. By means of proposition 13 it is not hardly to describe the group $\check{K}_2(K_x)$ in the symbol language:

Proposition 14 *$\check{K}_2(K_x)$ as subgroup in $K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X})$ is generated by symbols (u, v) , where $u \in K_x^*$ and $v \in K_x^*$.*

Proof.

From sequence (24) it follows that the symbols (u, v) $u \in K_x^*$, $v \in K_x^*$ belong to $\check{K}_2(K_x)$. The back inclusion is proved from sequence (24) by means of direct calculations with symbols. That is done in a way completely analogous to that in the symbol proof of proposition 12: by the same steps and by induction on prime elements of the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$, which do not divide any prime elements of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$. (See proof of proposition 12.)

Proposition 15 *1. The following complex is exact in the middle term:*

$$K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X}) \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_C (\cdot, \cdot)_C} \bigoplus_C k(C)^* \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_C \nu_x} \mathbb{Z}, \quad (25)$$

where this sum is over all prime ideals C of height 1 of the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. $(\cdot, \cdot)_C$ is the tame symbol associated with C , and ν_x is the discrete valuation of function field on the curve C at the point x .

2. The following complex is exact in the middle term:

$$\check{K}_2(K_x) \xrightarrow{\oplus(\cdot, \cdot)_{\check{C}}} \bigoplus_{\check{C}} k(\check{C})^* \xrightarrow{\check{C}} \bigoplus_{\check{C}} \nu_x \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad , \quad (26)$$

where the direct sum is over all prime ideals $\check{C}$ of height 1 of the ring $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ such that $\check{C}$ divides some prime ideal of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ under the natural embedding $\mathcal{O}_{x,X} \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ ($\simeq \check{C}$ are irreducible curves in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ such that these curves are in preimage of irreducible curves of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{x,X}$ under the map $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{x,X}$.)

The first proof.

The sequence (25) is Gersten resolution for cohomologies of sheaf $\mathcal{K}_2(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})$ on $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. (See [13, § 7, theorem 5.13].) But we have $H^1(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. Therefore the exactness in the middle term of sequence (25) follows from $H^1(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}, \mathcal{K}_2(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X})) = 0$.

The exactness in the middle term of sequence (26) follows now from sequence (25) and proposition 13.

The second proof.

That is an elementary proof in symbol language, which don't use the methods of higher K -theory.

It is enough to prove (25). From [12, ch. 6.1] we have an elementary proof by means of blowing ups, that

$$\left(\bigoplus_C \nu_x \right) \circ \left(\bigoplus(\cdot, \cdot)_C \right) = 0 \quad (27)$$

Now we shall prove the following fact: for the collection $\{f\} = \{f_C : f_C \in k(C)^* ; f_C = 1, \text{ except a finite number irreducible curves } C \text{ in } \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X} ; \bigoplus_C \nu_x(f_C) = 0\}$ there exist $g, h \in \hat{K}_{x,X}^*$ such that for any C $(g, h)_C = f_C$. We shall prove it in two steps.

Step 1.

Suppose that all irreducible curves C in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$, such that $f_C \neq 1$ are regular curves at the point x .

Let t_C be a local parameter at the point x on curve C in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. The proof will be by induction on a number of irreducible curves C in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ such that $f_C \neq 1$.

1. Let such C be only one. Choose an element $u \in \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$ such that elements t_C and u are a pair of local parameters at the point x , i. e. $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X} \simeq k[[t_C, u]]$. Let $k(C) \simeq k((t))$, and let $i : k(C) \hookrightarrow \hat{K}_{x,X}$ be an inclusion induced by the map $t \xrightarrow{i} u$. Then a symbol $(i(f_C), t_C)$ is a required symbol. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (i(f_C), t_C)_C &= f_C \\ (i(f_C), t_C)_{(u)} &= 1 \quad . \end{aligned}$$

We have $\nu_x(f_C) = 0$, therefore $i(f_C)$ is an invertible element in $k[[u]]$. Hence $i(f_C)$ is an invertible element in $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. Therefore we have

$$(i(f_C), t_C)_E = 1 \quad ,$$

where E is any other curve, $E \neq C$, $E \neq (u)$.

2. Suppose we have a collection from n irreducible curves C_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) : $f_C \neq 1$. Let $\Psi \in K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X})$ be those unknown symbol, which we have to construct. We shall calculate it.

Suppose that there exist pair $i \neq j$ such that the tangent lines of the curves C_i and C_j don't coincide, i. e. C_i and C_j are transversal curves in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that $i = 1$, $j = 2$. Then t_{C_1} and t_{C_2} are the pair of local parameters and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X} \simeq k[[t_{C_1}, t_{C_2}]]$. Let $k(C_i) \simeq k((t_i))$, and let $i_{C_1} : k(C_1) \hookrightarrow \hat{K}_{x,X}$ be an inclusion induced by the map $t_1 \xrightarrow{i_{C_1}} t_{C_2}$. Now consider a symbol $\Theta_1 = (i_{C_1}(f_{C_1}), t_{C_1}) \in K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X})$. It is clear, that

$$\begin{aligned} (i_{C_1}(f_{C_1}), t_{C_1})_{C_1} &= f_C \\ (i_{C_1}(f_{C_1}), t_{C_1})_E &= 1 \quad , \end{aligned}$$

for any curves $E \neq C_1$, $E \neq C_2$. $\Theta_1 \in K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X})$, therefore this symbol satisfy property (27). Ψ satisfy property (27) by condition. Therefore $\Theta_1^{-1}\Psi$ satisfy the property (27) and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Theta_1^{-1}\Psi)_{C_1} &= 1 \\ (\Theta_1^{-1}\Psi)_E &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

for any curves $E \neq C_2, \dots, C_n$. Now we apply the inductive hypothesis to the $n-1$ curves $C_2, \dots, C_n$ and collection

$$f_{C_2} = (\Theta_1^{-1}\Psi)_{C_2}, \dots, f_{C_n} = (\Theta_1^{-1}\Psi)_{C_n} \quad .$$

By inductive hypothesis there exists $\Theta_2 \in K_2(\hat{K}_{x,X})$ and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_1^{-1}\Psi &= \Theta_2 \quad , \text{ hence} \\ \Psi &= \Theta_1\Theta_2 \end{aligned}$$

And in this case (25) is proved.

Now suppose that all curves C_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) have the same tangent line. Then we consider the curve G in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$, transversally intersected to the all curves C_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Now after operations with the pair C_1 and G such as above with C_1 and C_2 we receive a case of the collection $\{G, C_2, \dots, C_n\}$, where the curve G is transversal to the all other curves. But this case was yet considered. Step 1 is proved.

Step 2.

Now suppose that there exists irreducible singular curve C in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$, such that $f_C \neq 1$. We shall prove the following fact: fix the smooth curve C , then there exist $f_1, f_2 \in \hat{K}_{x,X}^*$, such that $(f_1, f_2)_C = f_C$. And if $(f_1, f_2)_E \neq 1$ for some irreducible curve E in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$, then E is regular curve. Thus we reduce step 2 to step 1.

Fix some regular local parameters u and t , then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X} \simeq k[[u, t]]$. The ring $k[[u, t]]$ is the ring with a unique decomposition on prime factors. Also by Weierstrass preparation theorem (see [2, ch. VII, § 3.8]) we have, that every element $f \in k[[u, t]]$ has the following decomposition $f = b \cdot u^{\nu_1(f)} \cdot g$, where $g = t^{\nu_2(f)} + a_1 t^{\nu_2(f)-1} + \dots + a_{\nu_2(f)}$, $a_i \in u \cdot k[[u]]$, b is an invertible element in $k[[u, t]]$, $\nu_1(f) \geq 0$, $\nu_2(f) > 0$ (if $\nu_2(f) = 0$, then $g = 1$). Here $\nu_1(f)$ and $\nu_2(f)$ is uniquely defined by f . And $f \in k[[u, t]]$ is a prime element in this ring, if and only if or $g = 1, \nu_1(f) = 1$, or $\nu_1(f) = 0$ and g is a prime polinom in the ring $k[[u]][t]$. Also remark, that if $\nu_2(f) = 1$ and $\nu_1(f) = 0$, then f is a prime element in $k[[u, t]]$, and f is a regular curve in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$.

Let $t_C \in k[[u, t]]$ be a local parameter on curve C in $\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}$, i. e. $(t_C) = C$. We shall do induction on $\nu_2(t_C)$ (or $\nu_2(t_E)$). If $\nu_2(t_C) = 1$, then we have step 1 by the remark above.

Let $\nu_2(t_C) > 1$. Fix some elements $h_{1,C}, h_{2,C} \in k[[u, t]]$, such that

$$(h_{1,C} \pmod{(t_C)}) \cdot (h_{2,C} \pmod{(t_C)})^{-1} = f_C.$$

If $\nu_2(h_{1,C}) < \nu_2(t_C)$ and $\nu_2(h_{2,C}) < \nu_2(t_C)$, then in decomposition on prime elements we have

$$h_{1,C} = \prod_i p_i$$

$$h_{2,C} = \prod_j q_j,$$

where for any i, j

$$\nu_2(p_i) \leq \nu_2(h_{1,C}) < \nu_2(t_C)$$

$$\nu_2(q_j) \leq \nu_2(h_{2,C}) < \nu_2(t_C).$$

Also for the symbol

$$(h_{1,C} \cdot h_{2,C}^{-1}, t_C)$$

we have, that if $E \neq (u)$, $E \neq C$, $E \neq (p_i)$, $E \neq (q_j)$, then

$$(h_{1,C} \cdot h_{2,C}^{-1}, t_C)_E = 1.$$

Hence by inductive hypothesis we conclude proof in this case.

Otherwise, we can multiply $h_{i,C}$ by some degree of u , and subtract from it an element t_C , multiplied by some invertible element, by some degree of u , and by some degree of t . And obtained elements $\tilde{h}_{i,C}$ have the following properties:

$$(\tilde{h}_{1,C} \pmod{(t_C)}) \cdot (\tilde{h}_{2,C} \pmod{(t_C)})^{-1} = f_C.$$

$$\nu_2(\tilde{h}_{1,C}) < \nu_2(t_C)$$

$$\nu_2(\tilde{h}_{2,C}) < \nu_2(t_C)$$

But that is the case above. We proved step 2 and proposition 15.

Remind that if x is a singular point of the irreducible curve $C \subset X$, then

$$K_{x,C} = \bigoplus_i K_{x,C_i}$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{x,C} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{O}_{x,C_i} \quad ,$$

where C_i are the "analytic" branches of the curve C at the point x . Therefore

$$K_2(K_{x,C}) = \prod_i K_2(K_{x,C_i})$$

$$K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,C}) = \prod_i K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,C_i})$$

$$\nu_x(\cdot, \cdot)_C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{C_i \ni x} \nu_x(\cdot, \cdot)_{C_i} \quad ,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_{C_i}$ is the usual tame symbol of the discrete valuation field K_{x,C_i} , and ν_x is the discrete valuation on the branche C_i at the point x .

Definition 10 (K_2 -adeles) *Let X be a surface and a pair $x \in C$ runs all irreducible curves $C \subset X$ and points $x \in C$, then*

$$K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (\dots, f_{x,C}, \dots) \in \prod_{x \in C} K_2(K_{x,C}) \text{ under the following conditions} \right\}$$

1. $f_{x,C} \in K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,C})$ for almost all curves $C \subset X$.
2. For all curves $C \subset X$, all integers $l \geq 1$ and all, except a finite number (which depends on l) non singular points $x \in C$ we have $f_{x,C} \in K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,C}, m_C^l) \cdot K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}(\infty C))$, where m_C is the maximal ideal of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{x,C}$.

Remark 13 Definition 10 is similar to the definition from [10], where it have been used for global class field theory on surface X . See also [12]

Proposition 16 *Let $f = \{f_{x,C}\}$ and $g = \{g_{x,C}\}$ be from $\mathbb{A}_X^*$. Then the element (f, g) belongs to $K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X)$, i. e. there exists the natural computation for K_2 -functor:*

$$\mathbb{A}_X^* \otimes \mathbb{A}_X^* \longrightarrow K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \quad .$$

The proof of this proposition is enough easy and we omit it here.

Proposition 17 *Let $(f, g) \in K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X)$. Then under the Tate map:*

$$(f, g) \longmapsto \frac{df}{f} \wedge \frac{dg}{g} \in \prod_{x \in C} \tilde{\Omega}_{K_{x,C}/k}^2$$

the second adelic condition from definition 8 is true for the differential form $\frac{df}{f} \wedge \frac{dg}{g}$.

Proof. We have $\frac{df}{f} \wedge \frac{dg}{g} = \frac{1}{f \cdot g} (\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}) du \wedge dt$, therefore

- if $(f, g) \in K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}(\infty C))$, then it is not hardly to prove that $\frac{df}{f} \wedge \frac{dg}{g}$ satisfies the needed condition.
- if $(f, g) \in K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,C}, m_C^l)$ and $\frac{df}{f} \wedge \frac{dg}{g} = \omega_{x,C}$, then $\omega_{l-1}^{x,C}(u_{x,C})$ satisfies the needed condition. (See definition 8).

The proposition 17 is proved.

Theorem 3 *Using the diagonal map of $K_2(K_C)$ to $\prod_{x \in C} K_2(K_{x,C})$ and of $K_2(K_x)$ to $\prod_{C \ni x} K_2(K_{x,C})$ we put*

$$\begin{aligned} K'_2(\mathbb{A}_1) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{C \in X} K_2(K_C) \cap K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) && \subset K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \\ K'_2(\mathbb{A}_2) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{x \in X} K_2(K_x) \cap K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) && \subset K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \\ K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X(0)) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{x \in C} K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,C}) \cap K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) && \subset K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \\ K'_2(\mathcal{O}_1) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{C \subset X} K_2(\mathcal{O}_C) \cap K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) && \subset K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \\ K'_2(\mathcal{O}_2) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{x \in X} K_2(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{x,X}) \cap K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) && \subset K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \end{aligned}$$

and consider the following complex $K_2(\mathcal{A}_X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(k(X)) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_1) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_2) &\longrightarrow K'_2(\mathbb{A}_2) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_1) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X(0)) \longrightarrow K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \\ (f_0, f_1, f_2) &\longmapsto (f_2 \cdot f_0^{-1}, f_0 \cdot f_1, f_1^{-1} \cdot f_2^{-1}) \\ &\hspace{15em} (g_1, g_2, g_3) \hspace{1em} \longmapsto g_1 \cdot g_2 \cdot g_3 \end{aligned}$$

Then $H^(K_2(\mathcal{A}_X)) \simeq H^*(X, \mathcal{K}_2(X))$ and $H^2(K_2(\mathcal{A}_X)) \simeq CH^2(X)$, where $\mathcal{K}_2(X)$ is the sheaf on X associated with the presheaf $\{U \longmapsto K_2(U); U \text{ is open set in } X\}$, and $CH^2(X)$ is the Chow group of algebraic cycles of degree 2 with respect to the rational equivalence.*

Remark 14 Now due theorem 3 and proposition 16 it can be possible to overwrite the adelic intersection index of divisors on surface X from [12] (or [4]) to the language of K_2 -adeles.

Proof of theorem 3.

We have the following Gersten resolution on the surface X :

$$K_2(k(X)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{C \subset X} K_1(k(C)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X} K_0(k(x)) \quad . \quad (28)$$

And from [13, § 7, theorems 5.6 and 5.11] we have that i -th cohomology group of this complex coincides with $H^i(X, \mathcal{K}_2(X))$. We shall prove that this complex (28) is quasi-isomorphic to complex $K_2(\mathcal{A}_X)$. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_2(k(X)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{C \subset X} K_1(k(C)) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{x \in X} K_0(k(x)) \\ \uparrow \varphi_1 & & \uparrow \varphi_2 & & \uparrow \varphi_3 \\ K_2(k(X)) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_1) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_2) & \longrightarrow & K'_2(\mathbb{A}_2) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_1) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X(0)) & \longrightarrow & K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \\ \uparrow \phi_1 & & \uparrow \phi_2 & & \uparrow \phi_3 \\ K'_2(\mathcal{O}_1) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_2) & \longrightarrow & K'_2(\mathbb{A}_2) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_1) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X(0)) & \longrightarrow & \Phi \end{array}$$

where $\Phi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ker } \varphi_3 \subset K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X)$ and maps $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3$ are given by the following mode:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 : \quad & K_2(k(X)) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_1) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_2) \longrightarrow K_2(k(X)) \\ & g_1 \times g_2 \times g_3 \longmapsto g_1 \\ \varphi_2 : \quad & K'_2(\mathbb{A}_2) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_1) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X(0)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{C \subset X} K_1(k(C)) \\ & g_1 \times g_2 \times g_3 \longmapsto \bigoplus_{C \subset X} (g_2)_C \\ \varphi_3 : \quad & K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X} K_0(k(x)) \\ & (\alpha_{x,C}, \beta_{x,C}) \longmapsto \bigoplus_{x \in X} \left(\sum_{C \ni x} \nu_x (\alpha_{x,C}, \beta_{x,C})_C \right) \\ \phi_1 : \quad & K'_2(\mathcal{O}_1) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_2) \longrightarrow K_2(k(X)) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_1) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_2) \\ & f_1 \times f_2 \longmapsto 1 \times f_1 \times f_2 \\ \phi_2 : \quad & K'_2(\mathbb{A}_2) \times K'_2(\mathcal{O}_1) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X(0)) \longrightarrow K'_2(\mathbb{A}_2) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_1) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X(0)) \\ & g_1 \times g_2 \times g_3 \longmapsto g_1 \times g_2 \times g_3 \\ \phi_3 : \quad & \Phi \longrightarrow K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \\ & \text{Ker } \varphi_3 \hookrightarrow K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear, that all three vertical complexes are exact sequences. (The exactness of the middle complex follows from proposition 11). Therefore the quasi-isomorphism between

the first horizontal complex and the second horizontal complex will follow from the exactness of the lower horizontal complex. But the exactness of the lower horizontal complex in the left term is obvious, the exactness in the term Φ follows from sequence (26) of proposition 15, the exactness in the middle term follows from proposition 12. Also it is well known from the Bloch result, that $H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2(X)) \simeq CH^2(X)$. This concludes the proof of theorem 3.

In the sequel assume $\text{char } k = 0$.

Define the map $f_* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x}} f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ from $K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) \subset \prod_{x \in C} K_2(K_{x,C})$ to the idele group $\mathbb{A}_S^* \subset \prod_{s \in S} K_s^*$ of the curve S for the projective morphism surface X on the curve S . (Compare with definition of the map f_* , see page 8.)

Proposition 18 *The map $f_* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x}} f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ from $K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X)$ to $\mathbb{A}_S^*$ is well defined, i. e. this infinite product converges at every point $s \in S$.*

Proof.

Over each point $s \in S$ we have

$$\prod_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x}} f_*(\ , \)_{x,C} = \prod_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x \\ C \neq F}} f_*(\ , \)_{x,C} \times \prod_{f(x)=s} f_*(\ , \)_{x,F} \quad .$$

Now the first product from the right part of the last expression contains only a finite number terms $\neq 0$. It follows from the first adelic condition of definition 10.

The second product from the right part of this expression converges. That is proved by the same mode as corollary 3 from theorem 1 by means of proposition 17 and formulas (10) and (11) from theorem 1.

Remark 15 The expression $\prod_{\substack{f(x)=s \\ C \ni x}} f_*(\ , \)_{x,C}$ applied to the whole $\prod_{x \in C} K_2(K_{x,C})$ make not sense, since this series will not converge.

Proposition 19 *f_* maps the complex $K_2(\mathcal{A}_X)$ to the complex*

$$1 \longrightarrow k(S)^* \times \prod_{s \in S} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_s^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^*$$

and this map is a morphism of complexes.

Corollary *f_* gives us the maps from $H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2(X))$ to $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*)$ and from $H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2(X))$ to $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*)$.*

Proof (of corollary) It follows from proposition 19 and theorem 3.

Proof (of proposition 19). It follows from corollary 3 and corollary 4 of theorem 1. Also, an explicit construction of map $f_*(,)_{x,F}$ (see table (15) from theorem 2) gives us that $f_*(,)_{x,F}(K_2(\mathcal{O}_{x,F})) \subset \prod_{s \in S} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_s^*$.

Proposition 20 *The constructed map $f_* : H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2(X)) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{K}_1(S))$ is the Gysin map from $CH^2(X)$ to $CH^1(S)$.*

Proof. At first, remind that the Gysin map $CH^2(X) \rightarrow CH^1(S)$ maps the point on the surface X to the image point on the curve S with multiplicity equal to the degree of the extension of the residue field of this point over the residue field of the image point.

At second, we have explicit isomorphismes between the groups $H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2(X))$ (in adelic variant) and $CH^2(X)$, also between $H^1(S, \mathcal{K}_1(S))$ (in idelic variant) and $CH^1(S)$. Moreover, we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^2(X, \mathcal{K}_2(X)) \cong K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X) / (K'_2(\mathbb{A}_2) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_1) \times K'_2(\mathbb{A}_X(0))) & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{x \in X} (\sum_{C \ni x} \nu_x(,)_C)} & CH^2(X) \\
\downarrow f_* & & \downarrow \text{Gysin's map} \\
H^1(S, \mathcal{K}_1(S)) \cong \mathbb{A}_S^* / (k(S)^* \times \prod_{s \in S} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_s^*) & \xrightarrow{\bigoplus_{s \in S} \nu_s} & CH^1(S).
\end{array}$$

Now from the explicit construction of the map $f_*(,)_{x,C}$ (see theorem 2) we conclude, that this diagram is commutative diagram. Therefore f_* is the Gysin map. The proposition is proved.

References

- [1] Z. I. Borevich, I. R. Shapharevich *Number theory*. Moscow, Nauka, 1985.
- [2] N. Bourbaki *Algebre Commutative*, Hermann, Paris, 1961-1965.
- [3] K. Kato *Residue homomorphism in Milnor K-theory*, Advanced studies in pure mathematics **2** (1983) Galois groups and their representations, 153-172.
- [4] V. G. Lomadze *On the intersection index of divisors*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 44 (1980), 1120-1130; English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 17 (1981).
- [5] V. G. Lomadze *On residues in algebraic geometry*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 45(6) (1981), 1258-1287; English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 19 (1982).
- [6] J. Milnor *Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms*, Invent. Math, 9 (1969/70), 318-344.
- [7] J. Milnor *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., and Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1971.

- [8] A. N. Parshin *Class fields and algebraic K-theory*, Uspekhi Matem. Nauk, v.30 (1975), 253-254.
- [9] A. N. Parshin *On the arithmetic of two-dimensional schemes, I. Repartitions and residues*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 40(4) (1976), 736-773; English transl. in Math. USSR Izv. 10 (1976).
- [10] A. N. Parshin *Abelian coverings of arithmetical schemes*, DAN USSR, v.243 (1978), 855-858; English transl. in Soviet. Math. Doklady 19 (1978).
- [11] A. N. Parshin *Local class field theory*, Trud. Mat. Inst. AN SSSR, 165 (1984), 143-170; English transl. in Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics 1985, Issue 3.
- [12] A. N. Parshin, T. Fimmel *An introduction to the higher adelic theory*, preprint.
- [13] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory 1*, Lecture notes 341, Algebraic K-theory 1, 85-147.
- [14] J.-P. Serre *Groupes algebriques et corps de classes*, Hermann, 1959.
- [15] A. Yekutieli *An explicit construction of the Grothendieck residue complex*, Asterisque, 208 (1992).

Moscow State University
E-mail : Dosipov@nw.math.msu.su